	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Natalie P. Vance, Bar No. 206708 KLINEDINST PC 801 K Street, Suite 2800 Sacramento, California 95814 (916) 444-7573/FAX (916) 444-7544 nvance@klinedinstlaw.com Attorney for Defendants RESURGENT CAPITAL SERVICES, LP; ALEGIS GROUP, LLC; and LVNV FUNDING, LLC,		
	8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
	9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
300 95814	10	IMAN HATAMI,	Case No. C08-02453RS	
C: 280	11	Plaintiff,	ANSWER OF DEFENDANT LVNV	
INST F	12	v.	FUNDING, LLC TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT	
KLINEDINST PC 801 K STREET, STE. 2800 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 958	13	RESURGENT CAPITAL SERVICES, LP; ALEGIS GROUP, LLC; and		
	14	LVNV FUNDING, LLC,	Complaint Filed: May 13, 2008 Trial Date: None set	
	15	Defendants.		
	16			
	17	TO THE CLERK OF THE ABOVE-ENTITLED COURT, ALL PARTIES AND THEIR		
	18	ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:		
	19	Defendant LVNV Funding, LLC ("LVNV"), as and for its Answer to the Complaint of		
	20	Iman Hatami ("Plaintiffs") in the above-entitled matter, denies each and every allegation		
	21	contained therein, unless otherwise admitted or qualified herein.		
	22	I.		
	23	RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S ALLEGATIONS		
	24	1. In response to Paragraph 1 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV admits that Plaintiff		
	25	has brought this action alleging violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §		
	26	1692 et seq. ("FDCPA") and the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, Cal. Civ. Code §		
	27	1788 et seq. ("RFDCPA"), but denies that it violated any law.		
	28		- 1 -	
	ļ	H	- 1 -	

ANSWER OF DEFENDANT LVNV FUNDING, LLC

C08-02453RS

8

15

16

12

KLINEDINST PC 801 K STREET, STE. 2800 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814

21

- 2. In response to Paragraph 2 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV admits that the referenced statutes reference jurisdiction, but denies that jurisdiction is appropriate as it has violated no law. LVNV further denies that declaratory relief is available to Plaintiff.
- In response to Paragraph 3 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV denies all allegations 3. against it. To all other extents, LVNV has insufficient information and knowledge to admit or deny the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof.
- In response to Paragraph 4 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV denies all allegations 4. against it. To all other extents, LVNV has insufficient information and knowledge to admit or deny the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof.
- In response to Paragraph 5 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV denies all allegations 5. against it. To all other extents, LVNV has insufficient information and knowledge to admit or deny the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof.
- 6. In response to Paragraph 6 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV has insufficient information and knowledge to admit or deny the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof.
- In response to Paragraph 7 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV states that said 7. paragraph makes no allegations against it and therefore no response is required by LVNV. To the extent a response is required, LVNV has insufficient information and knowledge to admit or deny the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof.
- 8. In response to Paragraph 8 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV states that said paragraph makes no allegations against it and therefore no response is required by LVNV. To the extent a response is required, LVNV has insufficient information and knowledge to admit or deny the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof.

5

KLINEDINST PC 801 K STREET, STE. 2800 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814

- 9. In response to Paragraph 9 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV, with the exception of the address listed in regard to service upon it, denies all allegations.
- In response to Paragraph 10 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV denies all allegations 10. against it. To all other extents, LVNV has insufficient information and knowledge to admit or deny the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof.
- In response to Paragraph 11 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV admits that Plaintiff 11. allegedly incurred a debt. To all other extents, LVNV has insufficient information and knowledge to either admit or deny the allegations and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof.
- In response to Paragraph 12 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV denies all allegations 12. against it. To all other extents, LVNV has insufficient information and knowledge to admit or deny the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof.
- In response to Paragraph 13 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV denies all allegations 13. against it. To all other extents, LVNV has insufficient information and knowledge to admit or deny the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof.
- In response to Paragraph 14 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV states that the date 14. on Exhibit 1 is May 14, 2007. LVNV denies that the letter sought to collect any amount.
- In response to Paragraph 15 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV denies all allegations 15. against it. To all other extents, LVNV has insufficient information and knowledge to admit or deny the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof.
- In response to Paragraph 16 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV has insufficient 16. information and knowledge to either admit or deny the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof.

KLINEDINST PC 801 K STREET, STE. 2800 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814

15

- In response to Paragraph 17 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV denies all allegations 17. against it. To all other extents, LVNV has insufficient information and knowledge to admit or deny the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof.
- In response to Paragraph 18 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV has insufficient 18. information and knowledge to either admit or deny the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof.
- 19. In response to Paragraph 19 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV denies all allegations against it. To all other extents, LVNV has insufficient information and knowledge to admit or deny the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof.
- In response to Paragraph 20 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV states that the date 20. on Exhibit 2 is May 17, 2007. LVNV denies that the letter sought to collect any amount.
- 21. In response to Paragraph 21 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV has insufficient information and knowledge to either admit or deny the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof.
- 22. In response to Paragraph 22 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV denies all allegations against it. To all other extents, LVNV has insufficient information and knowledge to admit or deny the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof.
- In response to Paragraph 23 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV has insufficient 23. information and knowledge to either admit or deny the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof.
- 24. In response to Paragraph 24 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV denies all allegations pertaining to it. LVNV has insufficient information and knowledge to admit or deny the allegations set forth therein against all other defendants and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof.

- 25. In response to Paragraph 25 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV has insufficient information and knowledge to either admit or deny the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof.
- 26. In response to Paragraph 26 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV denies all allegations against it. LVNV has insufficient information and knowledge to either admit or deny the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof. LVNV further states that there is no indication that the Exhibit 3 was ever mailed to it, indicative of bad faith by Plaintiff.
- 27. In response to Paragraph 27 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV has insufficient information and knowledge to either admit or deny the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof.
- 28. In response to Paragraph 28 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV denies all allegations against it. To all other extents, LVNV has insufficient information and knowledge to either admit or deny the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof.
- 29. In response to Paragraph 29 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV denies all allegations against it. To all other extents, LVNV has insufficient information and knowledge to either admit or deny the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof.
- 30. In response to Paragraph 30 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV denies all allegations against it. To all other extents, LVNV has insufficient information and knowledge to either admit or deny the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof.
- 31. In response to Paragraph 31 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV denies all allegations against it. To all other extents, LVNV has insufficient information and knowledge to either admit or deny the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof.

5

10

KLINEDINST PC 801 K STREET, STE. 2800 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814

17

14

19

- 32. In response to Paragraph 32 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV states that the date on Exhibit 5 is October 25, 2007. LVNV denies that the letter sought to collect any amount.
- 33. In response to Paragraph 33 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV has insufficient information and knowledge to either admit or deny the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof.
- 34. In response to Paragraph 34 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV denies all allegations against it. To all other extents, LVNV has insufficient information and knowledge to either admit or deny the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof.
- 35. In response to Paragraph 35 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV has insufficient information and knowledge to either admit or deny the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof.
- 36. In response to Paragraph 36 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV denies all allegations against it. To all other extents, LVNV has insufficient information and knowledge to either admit or deny the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof.
- 37. In response to Paragraph 37 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV admits that Plaintiff brings this matter alleging violations of the FDCPA, but denies that it violated any law.
- 38. In response to Paragraph 38 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV adopts by reference paragraphs 1 through 37 of this Answer.
- 39. In response to Paragraph 39 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV has insufficient information and knowledge to either admit or deny the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof.
- 40. In response to Paragraph 40 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV has insufficient information and knowledge to either admit or deny the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof.

///

///

KLINEDINST PC 801 K STREET, STE. 2800 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814

16

17

13

14

23

25

- In response to Paragraph 41 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV has insufficient 41. information and knowledge to either admit or deny the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof.
- In response to Paragraph 42 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV denies all allegations 42. against it.
- 43. In response to Paragraph 43 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV has insufficient information and knowledge to either admit or deny the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof.
- 44. In response to Paragraph 44 of Plaintiff's Complaint, and all its subparts, LVNV denies all allegations against it. To all other extents, LVNV has insufficient information and knowledge to admit or deny the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof.
- In response to Paragraph 45 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV denies all allegations 45. against it. To all other extents, LVNV has insufficient information and knowledge to admit or deny the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof.
- 46. In response to Paragraph 46 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV denies all allegations against it. To all other extents, LVNV has insufficient information and knowledge to admit or deny the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof.
- In response to Paragraph 47 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV admits that Plaintiff 47. brings this matter alleging violations of the RFDCPA, but denies that it violated any law.
- 48. In response to Paragraph 48 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV adopts by reference paragraphs 1 through 47 of this Answer.
- 49. In response to Paragraph 49 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV has insufficient information and knowledge to either admit or deny the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof.

10

KLINEDINST PC 801 K STREET, STE. 2800 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814

- In response to Paragraph 51 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV has insufficient 51. information and knowledge to either admit or deny the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof.
- In response to Paragraph 52 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV denies all allegations 52. against it.
- 53. In response to Paragraph 53 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV has insufficient information and knowledge to either admit or deny the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof.
- In response to Paragraph 54 of Plaintiff's Complaint, and all its subparts, LVNV 54. denies all allegations against it. To all other extents, LVNV has insufficient information and knowledge to admit or deny the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof.
- In response to Paragraph 55 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV denies all allegations 55. against it. To all other extents, LVNV has insufficient information and knowledge to admit or deny the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof.
- In response to Paragraph 56 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV denies all allegations 56. against it. To all other extents, LVNV has insufficient information and knowledge to admit or deny the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof.
- In response to Paragraph 57 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV denies all allegations 57. against it. To all other extents, LVNV has insufficient information and knowledge to admit or deny the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his strictest burden of proof.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

	58.	In response to Paragraph 58 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV denies all allegations		
agains	t it. To a	all other extents, LVNV has insufficient information and knowledge to admit or		
deny the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same and puts Plaintiff to his				
strictes	st burde	n of proof.		

59. In response to Paragraph 59 of Plaintiff's Complaint, LVNV denies that Plaintiff is entitled to any remedy as it has violated no law.

II.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

FIRST DEFENSE

Plaintiff's Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

SECOND DEFENSE

LVNV's actions have not been willful, to the extent that they violate California or federal law, which LVNV denies.

THIRD DEFENSE

Plaintiff failed to exercise ordinary and reasonable care on his own behalf, and such negligence and carelessness was a proximate cause of some portion, up to and including the whole of, the damages alleged by Plaintiff and his recovery should therefore be barred or reduced according to law.

FOURTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrines of laches, estoppel, acquiescence, and waiver.

FIFTH DEFENSE

Any violation of the law or damage suffered by Plaintiff, which LVNV denies, was due to the affirmative actions and/or omissions of Plaintiff and do not give rise to any liability of LVNV.

SIXTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's action against LVNV is barred, in whole or in part, by the applicable statute(s) of limitations.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SEVENTH DEFENSE

Any damage to Plaintiff, which LVNV denies, is due to the acts or omissions of Plaintiff and/or third parties and LVNV is not liable for said acts, omissions or alleged damages.

EIGHTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred by the lack of proximate cause.

NINTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred because Plaintiff failed to mitigate his damages.

TENTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred by his lack of standing.

ELEVENTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred because Plaintiff failed to perform as agreed in breach of their contracts with his underlying creditors.

TWELFTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred by Plaintiff's unclean hands.

THIRTEENTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrine of unjust enrichment.

FOURTEENTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrine of res judicata/collateral estoppel.

FIFTEENTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred by the defense of in pari delicto.

SIXTEENTH DEFENSE

Upon information and belief, Plaintiff may be contractually obligated to arbitrate any dispute, claim or controversy which arises out of the transaction that is the subject matter of the instant litigation.

SEVENTEENTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred because the statements or acts attributed to LVNV, if made, were privileged communication by interested parties, without malice, to interested persons who requested the information.

4

7

6

8

10 11

12 13

KLINEDINST PC 801 K STREET, STE. 2800 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814

14 15

17

16

18 19

2021

22

2324

25

26

2728

EIGHTEENTH DEFENSE

Any violation of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, title 15 of the United States Code sections 1692 et seq., or the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, California Code sections 1788 et seq., if applicable, was not intentional and was the result of a bona fide error notwithstanding the maintenance of procedures reasonably adapted to avoid such error.

NINETEENTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff suffered no damage from the alleged violations by LVNV and therefore is not entitled to any award of damages, attorneys' fees or costs.

TWENTIETH DEFENSE

LVNV was not a debt collector as defined under California or federal law, in regard to the instant matter.

TWENTY FIRST DEFENSE

All of LVNV's actions have been in accordance with California and federal debt collection practices and consumer credit laws.

TWENTY SECOND DEFENSE

LVNV may have additional defenses that cannot be articulated due to Plaintiff's failure to particularize his claims, due to the fact that LVNV does not have copies of certain documents bearing on Plaintiff's claims and due to Plaintiff's failure to provide more specific information concerning the nature of the damage claims and claims for certain costs which Plaintiff alleges that LVNV may share some responsibility. LVNV therefore reserves the right to assert additional defenses upon further particularization of Plaintiff's claims, upon examination of the documents provided, upon discovery of further information concerning the alleged damage claims and claims for costs, and upon the development of other pertinent information.

III.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Defendant LVNV prays for an order and judgment of this Court in its favor against Plaintiffs as follows:

		Case 5:08-cv-02453-JF Document 7 Filed 06/11/2008 Page 12 of 12		
	1	 Dismissing all causes of action against it with prejudice and on the merits; 		
	2	2. Awarding its reasonable costs and attorneys' fees; and		
	3	3. Awarding it such other and further relief as the Court deems just and equitable.		
	4	KLINEDINST PC		
	5			
	6	DATED: June 11, 2008 By: /s/ Natalie P. Vance		
	7	NATALIE P. VANCE Attorney for Defendants		
	8.	Attorney for Defendants RESURGENT CAPITAL SERVICES, LP; ALEGIS GROUP, LLC; and LVNV FUNDING, LLC,		
	9	637817v1		
)0 5814	10			
KLINEDINSI PC 801 K STREET, STE. 2800 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814	11			
ET, ST ALIFOR	12			
STRE TO, C	13			
801 K RAMEN	14			
SAC	15			
	16			
	17			
	18			
	19			
	20			
	21			
	22			
	23			
	24			
	25			
	26			
	27			
	28			
		- 12 - ANSWER OF DEFENDANT LVNV FUNDING, LLC		